

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/632,638	WANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas M. Dougherty	2834	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas M. Dougherty

(3) _____

(2) Steve Neal

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 May 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: not applicable.

Identification of prior art discussed: not applicable.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Neal noted that the restriction requirement was improper because the preliminary amendment of 2/23/04 cancelled certain of the claims thus obviating the need for the restriction in the first place. The Examiner concurred and promised an action on the merits to be forthcoming.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

THOMAS M. DOUGHERTY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2900

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required